

application, which Applicants filed consistent with their duty of disclosure requirements, and included that related application in the Office's file, which is the application examined by Examiner Owens. The details of the facts and circumstances supporting the conclusion that the Office's file contains the wrong application, is set forth in detail in the Petition, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Consequently, as the Restriction Requirement is believed to be based on a review of a different patent application, not the above-identified patent application, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement. Consistent with this request, it is also requested that Applicants receive a new Office Action based on the application (Appendix A of the Petition) that Applicants actually filed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Marvin J. Spivak
Registration No. 24,913
Bradley D. Lytle
Registration No. 40,073
Edwin D. Garlepp
Registration No. 45,330



22850

(703) 413-3000
Fax #: (703) 413-2220
GJM:BDL/rac
I:\ATTY\BDL\217208US\217208US.AM.DOC

RECEIVED
OCT -9 2002
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

RECEIVED
NOV 25 2002
OFFICE OF PETITIONS